## **Wisconsin Highway Research Program** Dr. Hussain Bahia, Technical Director

bahia@engr.wisc.edu 1415 Engineering Dr., Rm. 3350 Madison, WI 53706 608-265-4481

WisDOT Research & Library Unit

Ann Pahnke, Program Analyst

ann.pahnke@dot.state.wi.us

4802 Sheboygan Ave., Rm. 801

Madison, WI 53707

608-267-2294

## Research Implementation & **Project Closure**

Project Information		
(To be completed by WHRP staff when draft report is received) Date completed: June 23, 2006		
<b>Project Title:</b> Evaluation of the Extent of HMA Moisture Damage as	<b>Project ID:</b> 0092-01-03	
it Relates to Pavement Performance		
Technical Oversight Committee: Flexible Pavement	TOC Chair: Len Makowski	
Project Start Date: November 2000	WisDOT Project Manager: Judie Ryan	
Project End Date: May 2003	Approved Contract Amount: \$50,753	
Final Report Dated: May 2003	Actual Project Expenditures: \$50,753	
Principal Investigator: Hussain Bahia	Co-investigators (including research assistants) and	
Organization: University of Wisconsin-Madison	Organizations: Kunnawee Kantipong (RA)	

Implementation / Further Research Recommendations			
(Information provided by TOC and WisDOT project manager when final report	t is approved) Date comp	<b>oleted:</b> July 11, 2006	
1. What WisDOT policy or practice does this research project pertain to?	Please identify the specif	ic section(s) of the	
Facilities Development Manual (FDM), Construction and Materials Manua	al (CMM), Standard Spec	cifications, other manual,	
or accepted practice to which this research pertains.			
Standard Specs: 460.2.7, CMM 4-25-60, WisDOT 1559, HTCP HMA Tech III	Mix Design		
2. Based on the results of this research, the following steps are recommended. (Please select either A, B or C, and provide			
detail in Items 3 to 7, below.)			
☐ A. No further activity is necessary. (Please skip to Item 7.)			
B. Revisions to WisDOT policy or practice are not appropriate at this time. However, to gain further value from this			
research, we recommend follow-up research and/or validation activities as detailed in 3 through 6, below.			
☐ C. The Technical Oversight Committee recommends implementing changes to the following WisDOT policies or practices.			
(Please identify specific section(s) of specific manuals, where applicable):			
(i least identity specific section(s) of specific mandals, where applicable).			
3. Describe the scope and objectives of follow-up research or implementation	on of specific changes to V	WisDOT procedures.	
3. Describe the scope and objectives of follow-up research or implementation Further Investigate test methods to better qualify moisture damage	on of specific changes to V	WisDOT procedures.	
Further Investigate test methods to better qualify moisture damage	on of specific changes to V	WisDOT procedures.	
Further Investigate test methods to better qualify moisture damage  4. Details of Follow-up Research or Implementation Activities:			
Further Investigate test methods to better qualify moisture damage  4. Details of Follow-up Research or Implementation Activities:  Task	Person responsible	Target completion date	
Further Investigate test methods to better qualify moisture damage  4. Details of Follow-up Research or Implementation Activities:  Task  1. 0092-05-12: Test Method to Determine Aggregate/Asphalt Adhesion	Person responsible Andrew Hanz		
Further Investigate test methods to better qualify moisture damage  4. Details of Follow-up Research or Implementation Activities:  Task  1. 0092-05-12: Test Method to Determine Aggregate/Asphalt Adhesion Properties and Potential Moisture Damage	Person responsible	Target completion date	
Further Investigate test methods to better qualify moisture damage  4. Details of Follow-up Research or Implementation Activities:  Task  1. 0092-05-12: Test Method to Determine Aggregate/Asphalt Adhesion Properties and Potential Moisture Damage  2.	Person responsible Andrew Hanz	Target completion date	
Further Investigate test methods to better qualify moisture damage  4. Details of Follow-up Research or Implementation Activities:  Task  1. 0092-05-12: Test Method to Determine Aggregate/Asphalt Adhesion Properties and Potential Moisture Damage  2.  3.	Person responsible Andrew Hanz	Target completion date	
Further Investigate test methods to better qualify moisture damage  4. Details of Follow-up Research or Implementation Activities:  Task  1. 0092-05-12: Test Method to Determine Aggregate/Asphalt Adhesion Properties and Potential Moisture Damage  2.  3.  4.	Person responsible Andrew Hanz	Target completion date	
Further Investigate test methods to better qualify moisture damage  4. Details of Follow-up Research or Implementation Activities:  Task  1. 0092-05-12: Test Method to Determine Aggregate/Asphalt Adhesion Properties and Potential Moisture Damage  2.  3.	Person responsible Andrew Hanz	Target completion date	
Further Investigate test methods to better qualify moisture damage  4. Details of Follow-up Research or Implementation Activities:  Task  1. 0092-05-12: Test Method to Determine Aggregate/Asphalt Adhesion Properties and Potential Moisture Damage  2.  3.  4.  5.  6.	Person responsible Andrew Hanz	Target completion date	
Further Investigate test methods to better qualify moisture damage  4. Details of Follow-up Research or Implementation Activities:  Task  1. 0092-05-12: Test Method to Determine Aggregate/Asphalt Adhesion Properties and Potential Moisture Damage  2.  3.  4.  5.	Person responsible Andrew Hanz	Target completion date	
Further Investigate test methods to better qualify moisture damage  4. Details of Follow-up Research or Implementation Activities:  Task  1. 0092-05-12: Test Method to Determine Aggregate/Asphalt Adhesion Properties and Potential Moisture Damage  2.  3.  4.  5.  6.  5. Estimated cost, if any, for equipment, training, printing, etc.:  \$54,810	Person responsible Andrew Hanz Judie Ryan (POC)	Target completion date	
Further Investigate test methods to better qualify moisture damage  4. Details of Follow-up Research or Implementation Activities:  Task  1. 0092-05-12: Test Method to Determine Aggregate/Asphalt Adhesion Properties and Potential Moisture Damage  2. 3. 4. 5. 6.  5. Estimated cost, if any, for equipment, training, printing, etc.: \$54,810  6. Expected benefits and how they will be measured (dollar savings, time so	Person responsible Andrew Hanz Judie Ryan (POC)  avings, etc.):	Target completion date	
Further Investigate test methods to better qualify moisture damage  4. Details of Follow-up Research or Implementation Activities:  Task  1. 0092-05-12: Test Method to Determine Aggregate/Asphalt Adhesion Properties and Potential Moisture Damage  2.  3.  4.  5.  6.  5. Estimated cost, if any, for equipment, training, printing, etc.: \$54,810  6. Expected benefits and how they will be measured (dollar savings, time so Cost and time saving in moisture damage testing by both the agency and contrains.	Person responsible Andrew Hanz Judie Ryan (POC)  avings, etc.):	Target completion date	
Further Investigate test methods to better qualify moisture damage  4. Details of Follow-up Research or Implementation Activities:  Task  1. 0092-05-12: Test Method to Determine Aggregate/Asphalt Adhesion Properties and Potential Moisture Damage  2. 3. 4. 5. 6.  5. Estimated cost, if any, for equipment, training, printing, etc.: \$54,810  6. Expected benefits and how they will be measured (dollar savings, time so	Person responsible Andrew Hanz Judie Ryan (POC)  avings, etc.):	Target completion date	

	Project Closure
(Information provided by principal investigator and W Date completed:	VisDOT project manager when final report is approved)
•	
Timeline and budget	
1. Was the project completed on time (i.e., per the original contract between WisDOT and the performing organization)?  ☐ Yes ☐ No	1a. If not, what additional time was needed to complete the project?  What were the reasons?  □ Data access □ Reporting/revision delay □ Testing delay □ Research subcontractor delay □ Construction delay □ Work plan modification □ Administrative delay
2. Was additional funding sought for this project?  ☐ Yes ☑ No	2a. If yes, how much? Was the funding approved?  Yes No For what purpose?
D	
Partnerships and facilities  3. Did this research effort include partnerships with other universities, agencies, or other stakeholders?  Yes No	3a. If yes, please list. Include the locations of any out-of-state institutions.
4. Indicate the location of facilities used:  ☐ University ☐ Wisconsin DOT ☐ Other:	4a. Please describe the type of laboratory and testing facilities used. UW Asphalt Lab WisDOT Main Frame Databases
Student involvement	
5. Were graduate students employed for this study?  Yes No	5a. If yes, how many? 1 Number male Number female 1
6. Did any of the graduate students use this research project in a published thesis or article?  Yes Not sure No N/A	6a. Citations of published theses or articles:  1. Kantipong, K., Bahia, H. "Role of Adhesion and Thin Film Tackiness of Asphalt Binders in Moisture Damage of HMA." Journal of the Association of Asphalt Paving Technologists. Vol 72. Pages 502-528. Association of Asphalt Paving Technologists, White Bear Lake, MN 2003.http://ntlsearch.bts.gov/tris/search.do?bl=1&f1=kw%3Abahia&r= 1&d=tr&p=22&z=1&s=yr&o=l&new=n  2. Kanitpong, K., Bahia, H. "Relating Adhesion and Cohesion of Asphalts to Effect of Moisture on Asphalt Mixtures' Laboratory Performance."  Transportation Research Record. Journal of the Transportation Research Board. No. 1901. Pages 33-43. Transportation Research Board, Washington DC, 2005. http://ntlsearch.bts.gov/tris/search.do?b1=1&f1=0&t1=kw%3Akanitpong&r=1&d=tr&p=2&z=1&s=yr&o=1  3. Kantipong, K., Bahia, H. "Evaluation of Hot-Mix Asphalt Mositure Damage in Wisconsin as Related to Pavement Performance." Transportation Research Board Annual Meeting 2006 Paper #06-1605.http://ntlsearch.bts.gov/tris/search.do?b1=1&f1=0&t1=aw%3Akunnawee+aw%3Akanitpong&r=1&d=tr&p=5&z=1&s=yr&o=1&mew=n

7. Were undergraduate students employed for this study?  ☐ Yes ☑ No	7a. If yes, how many? Number male Number female
8. If known, please list the graduate students' current occupations or affiliations (e.g., continuing graduate education, employed at a public agency or private firm, etc.) and completed degrees and awarding institutions.  Assistant Professor Asian Institute of Technology	9. If known, please list the undergraduate students' current occupations or affiliations (e.g., continuing graduate education, employed at a public agency or private firm, etc.) and, where applicable, completed graduate degrees and awarding institutions.